

United States Patent and Trademark Office

M

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/815,090	03/31/2004	Le Huang	7338		
7590 06/26/2006			EXAMINER		
Hui Min He-Huang			DAVIS, BRIAN J		
Mai De Ltd. P. O. Box 193			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Northborough, MA 01532			1621		
			DATE MAILED: 06/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/815,090	HUANG, LE
Examiner	Art Unit
Brian J. Davis	1621

	Examino	, • ·	
	Brian J. Davis	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 June 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A 		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later. In
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co		TE below);	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in bet	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☑ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: <u>7-16</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,17 and 18</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>ne</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appery and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
13. Other:	FR		
PRIMARY EXAMINE	 -		

Application No. 10/815,090

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The instant claim set raises a number of new issues (both objections and rejections), for instance, issues with respect to 35 USC 112, second paragraph, for example: It is unclear in claim 10 how the solvent can be removed by distillation when it has already been removed by lyophilization in the claim from which claim 10 depends (claim 5). And with respect to objections, for instance: Claim 6 fails to further limit claim 5, the claim from which it depends; claim 5 already contains a limitation with respect to lyophilization.